arXiv:1408.5398v3 [math.KT] 28 Nov 2015

TRANSFERS FOR NON-STABLE K_1-FUNCTORS OF CLASSICAL TYPE

A. Stavrova[1]

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics
St. Petersburg State University
St. Petersburg, Russia
anastasia.stavrova@gmail.com

Abstract. Let k be a field. Let G be an absolutely almost simple simply connected k-group of type A_l, $l \geq 2$, or D_l, $l \geq 4$, containing a 2-dimensional split torus. If G is of type D_l, assume moreover that $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$. We show that the Nisnevich sheafification of the non-stable K_1-functor K_1^G, also called the Whitehead group of G, on the category of smooth k-schemes is A^1-invariant, and has oriented weak transfers for affine varieties in the sense of Panin-Yagunov-Ross. If k has characteristic 0, this implies that the Nisnevich sheafification of K_1^G is birationally invariant.

We also prove a rigidity theorem for $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant torsion presheaves with oriented weak transfers over infinite fields. As a corollary, we conclude that $K_1^G(R) = K_1^G(k)$ whenever R is a Henselian regular local ring with a coefficient field k.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ be the category of Noetherian smooth schemes of finite type over a field k. We will consider $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ as a site with Nisnevich topology, and for any presheaf F on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$, we denote by F_{Nis} its Nisnevich sheafification. Recall that a presheaf F on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ is called $\mathbb{A}^1$-*invariant*, if for any object $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$ the projection $X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k \to X$ induces an isomorphism $F(X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1_k) \cong F(X)$.

Let G be a reductive group over k. We say that G is isotropic if G contains a (proper) parabolic k-subgroup P, or, equivalently, a non-central subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k}$. If this is the case, for any k-scheme X we set

$$E_P(X) = \langle U_P(X), U_{P^-}(X) \rangle \subseteq G(X),$$

where U_P and U_{P^-} are the unipotent radicals of P and any opposite parabolic subgroup P^-. The quotient

$$G(X)/E_P(X) = K_1^{G,P}(X) = W_P(X, G)$$

is called the non-stable K_1-functor associated to G, or the Whitehead group of G. Both names go back to Bass' founding paper [B64], where the case $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ was considered.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19B28, 14L35, 20G15, 14C35.

[1] The author acknowledges support of the postdoctoral grant 6.50.22.2014 "Structure theory, representation theory and geometry of algebraic groups" of St. Petersburg State University, and the RFBR grants 14-01-31515-mol_a, 13-01-00429-a.

It is known that the functor $K_1^{G,P}$ on affine k-schemes is independent of the choice of a parabolic k-subgroup P that intersects properly every semisimple normal subgroup of G. If every semisimple normal subgroup of G contains $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}})^2$, then $K_1^{G,P}$ takes values in the category of groups. Also, it is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ whenever k is perfect. We refer to [St14] for these and other basic properties of non-stable K_1-functors associated to isotropic groups.

In the present paper we study the Nisnevich sheafification $K_{1,Nis}^{G,P}$ of $K_1^{G,P}$ on the category $\mathbf{Sm}_k$, where G a simply connected simple algebraic k-group of classical type A_l, $l \geq 2$, or D_l, $l \geq 4$. Note that all our results also extend to groups of type B_l or C_l, $l \geq 2$, however, for such groups $K_{1,Nis}^{G}$ is trivial on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$; see [G2] and Lemma 2.4 below.

The above-mentioned properties of $K_1^{G,P}$ imply that $K_{1,Nis}^{G,P} = K_{1,Nis}^{G}$ is group-valued, independent of the choice of P, and $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant. We aim to prove that $K_{1,Nis}^{G}$ is a presheaf with oriented weak transfers for affine varieties in the sense of the following definition of J. Ross [R], which is a slight modification of a definition of I. Panin and S. Yagunov [PaY02].

Definition 1.1. [R, Definition 2.1] *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an additive category, and let $F : \mathbf{Sm}_k^{op} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a presheaf. Assume that F is additive in the sense that $F(X_1 \coprod X_2) \cong F(X_1) \oplus F(X_2)$. We say that F has* oriented weak transfers *if for any $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, any finite flat generically étale morphism $f : X \to Y$, and any closed embedding of Y-schemes $\tau : X \hookrightarrow Y \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^n$ with trivial normal bundle, there is a map $f_*^\tau : F(X) \to F(Y)$ satisfying the following properties.*

(1) *The f_*^τ's are compatible with disjoint unions: if $X = X_1 \coprod X_2$, $f_i : X_i \to Y$ and $\tau_i : X_i \hookrightarrow Y \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^n$, $i = 1, 2$ are the morphisms induced by f and τ, then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{f_*^\tau} & F(Y) \\ {\scriptstyle\cong}\big\downarrow & \nearrow_{(f_{1*}^{\tau_1}, f_{2*}^{\tau_2})} & \\ F(X_1) \oplus F(X_2) & & \end{array}$$

(2) *The f_*^τ's are compatible with sections $s : Y \to X$ which are isomorphisms onto connected components of X. In the notation of the previous property, if s is an isomorphism onto X_1, then we require $f_{1*}^{\tau_1} = s^*$; in particular, $f_{1*}^{\tau_1}$ is independent of τ_1.*

(3) *For any morphism $g : Y' \to Y$ which is either smooth, or a closed embedding of a principal smooth divisor, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xrightarrow{g'^*} & F(X \times_Y Y') \\ {\scriptstyle f_*^\tau}\big\downarrow & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (f')_*^{\tau'}} \\ F(Y) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & F(Y') \end{array}$$

Here $f' : X \times_Y Y' \to Y'$, $g' : X \times_Y Y' \to X$, and $\tau' : X' \hookrightarrow Y' \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^n$ are the natural morphisms obtained by base change.

(4) *f_*^τ is compatible with the addition of irrelevant summands: if*

$$(\tau, 0) : X \hookrightarrow Y \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^n \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$$

is the embedding induced by τ and $0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, then f_*^τ and $f_*^{(\tau,0)}$ coincide.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is the category of abelian groups **Ab**, *then all transfer maps are required to be group homomorphisms.*

One says that a presheaf $F : \mathbf{Sm}_k \to \mathcal{A}$ has weak transfers for affine varieties, *if we are given weak transfers as described above whenever X and Y are affine.*

I. Panin and S. Yagunov introduced oriented weak transfers in order to further generalize Suslin's rigidity theorem for K-theory with finite coefficients [S83, GiTh, Gab92], which was previously extended to $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant torsion presheaves with transfers in the sense of Suslin–Voevodsky [SV96, V00]. It is proved in [PaY02] that orientable cohomology theories over algebraically closed fields possess oriented weak transfers, and hence satisfy a rigidity theorem. These results were later generalized to presheaves with non-oriented weak transfers over algebraically closed fields [Y04], and to $\mathbb{A}^1$-stably representable cohomology theories over infinite fields [HY07].

In [R] J. Ross, assuming that k has characteristic 0, extends to presheaves with weak transfers the main results of [V00]. Namely, he shows that Zariski and Nisnevich sheafifications of an $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant presheaf on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ with oriented weak transfers for affine varieties coincide, and their Zariski and Nisnevich cohomology functors are again $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant presheaves with oriented weak transfers. Also, such presheaves have Gersten resolutions.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let k be a field. Let G be a simply connected simple algebraic group over k of type A_l, $l \geq 2$, or D_l, $l \geq 3$, such that G contains $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k})^2$. If G is of type D_l, assume moreover that* $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$. *Then the functor $K^G_{1,Nis}(-)$ on the category $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ takes values in* **Ab**, *and is an $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant functor with oriented weak transfers for affine varieties.*

Note that, according to a recent result of A. Asok, M. Hoyois and M. Wendt [AHW, Corollaries 4.3.3 and 4.3.4], for any infinite field k and any isotropic reductive k-group G the Zariski sheafification $K^G_{1,Zar}$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ coincides with $K^G_{1,Nis}$. However, our proof of Theorem 1.2 uses Nisnevich topology, so we keep the notation $K^G_{1,Nis}$.

Our construction of oriented weak transfers for $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is inspired by the construction of the norm homomorphism for R-equivalence class groups of classical groups due to V. Chernousov and A. Merkurjev [ChM1]. In particular, their norm homomorphisms are precisely the oriented weak transfer maps for finite extensions of fields. By construction, our transfers are independent of the choice of a closed emebedding τ of Definition 1.1. The characteristic assumption in the D_l case is solely due to the fact that Merkurjev's norm principle is not known for groups of type D_l in characteristic 2; see Theorem 3.4 below.

We note that it should be possible to assume in Theorem 1.2 that G contains $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k}$ instead of $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k})^2$. In fact, one can show that for any isotropic simply connected simple algebraic group H of classical type over k there is a group G over k satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 and such that $K^G_{1,Nis} = K^H_{1,Nis}$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$. This follows from the stabilization of non-stable K_1-functors of classical types on local rings [Yu13]. However, in order to apply the latter result, we would have had to invoke the voluminous language of Petrov's unitary groups which is unnecessary for our results here, so we chose not to do that.

It is not hard to prove that $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is not only $\mathbb{A}^1$- but also $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-invariant (see Lemma 2.4). The results of [R] and Theorem 1.2 then imply that $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is a birationally invariant sheaf.

Corollary 1.3. *In the setting of Theorem 1.2, assume moreover that k has characteristic 0. Then for any smooth k-scheme X, one has*

$$K^G_{1,Nis}(X) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{k} K^G_{1,Nis}(k(X_i)),$$

where X_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are the connected components of X. In particular, $H^n_{Nis}(X, K^G_{1,Nis}) = 0$ for any $n>0$.

Note that Corollary 1.3 implies that $H^n_{Nis}(X, K^G_{1,Nis}) = 0$ for any $n > 0$ and any smooth k-scheme X (e.g. by a lemma of J. Riou [KLe, Lemma 4.1.4]); that is, $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is strictly $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant in the sense of F. Morel [Mo]. The same result was also obtained by A. Asok, M. Hoyois and M. Wendt for the case of an arbitrary perfect field k using different methods [AHW].

One can also extend to $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant presheaves with oriented weak transfers the Henselian local ring version of Suslin's rigidity theorem by closely imitating the proofs of rigidity theorems in [SV96, Gab92, HY07]; see Theorem 4.2 below. As a corollary, we obtain the following result. The corresponding statement was previously known for general isotropic simply connected groups G over Henselian discrete valuation rings [G1],[G2, Corollaire 7.3], and for groups G of type A_l over arbitrary Henselian local rings [Haz10, Haz12].

Corollary 1.4. *In the setting of Theorem 1.2, assume that G is of type D_l, $l \geq 4$, and* $\operatorname{char} k \neq 2$. *Let (R, m) be a Henselian regular local ring such that $k \cong R/m$ is a coefficient subfield of R. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$K^G_1(R) \cong K^G_1(k).$$

I am indebted to Ivan Panin for pointing out that norm maps for algebraic tori can be defined not only for field extensions, but also for finite flat morphisms of affine schemes. Also, I would like to thank Alexey Ananyevskiy for several helpful comments on rigidity theorems.

2. Nisnevich sheafification of a non-stable K_1-functor

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need to consider non-stable K_1-functors in a more general situation than in the introduction. Let R be a commutative ring with 1, and let G be a reductive scheme over R in the sense of [SGA3].

Definition 2.1. *A parabolic subgroup P in G is called* strictly proper*, if it intersects properly every normal semisimple subgroup scheme of G.*

If R is local, then G contains a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup if and only if every semisimple normal R-subgroup scheme of G contains $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},R}$ by [SGA3, Proposition 6.16].

Assume that G has a parabolic R-subgroup P that is strictly proper. Since the base $\operatorname{Spec} R$ is affine, P has a Levi R-subgroup L, and there is a unique opposite parabolic R-subgroup P^- of G such that $P \cap P^- = L$ [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, Cor. 2.3 and Th. 4.3.2]. For any R-scheme X, we set

$$E_P(X) = \langle U_P(X), U_{P^-}(X) \rangle \subseteq G(X),$$

where U_P and U_{P^-} are the unipotent radicals of P and P^-. Since any two Levi R-subgroups of P are conjugate by an element of $U_P(R)$ by [SGA3, Exp. XXVI, Cor. 1.8], the group $E_P(X)$ is indeed independent of the choice of L and P^-. The quotient

$$G(X)/E_P(X) = K_1^{G,P}(X) = W_P(X, G)$$

is again called the non-stable K_1-functor associated to G, or the Whitehead group of G. It is a functor on the category of R-schemes.

If R is a semilocal ring, or if every semisimple normal subgroup of G contains $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k})^2$ locally in Zariski topology on $\operatorname{Spec} R$, then for any R-algebra A, the group $E_P(A) = E(A)$ is independent of the choice of a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup P [SGA3, PSt1], see [St14, Theorem 2.1]. In this case $K_1^{G,P}(A)$ is a group, since a conjugate of a strictly proper parabolic subgroup is a strictly proper parabolic subgroup.

For any Noetherian commutative ring R of finite dimension, we denote by $\mathbf{Sm}_R$ the category of Noetherian smooth schemes of finite type over R. We consider $\mathbf{Sm}_R$ as a site with Nisnevich topology. For any reductive group scheme G over R with a parabolic R-subgroup P we denote by $K_{1,Nis}^{G,P}$ the Nisnevich sheafification of $K_1^{G,P}$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_R$. Note that $K_1^{G,P}$ extends by continuity to the category of Noetherian essentially smooth R-schemes. This extension is well-defined thanks to [Gro66, Corollaire 8.13.2], and compatible with Nisnevich sheafification e.g. by [Stacks, Tag 00XI].

Lemma 2.2. *Let R be a smooth k-algebra, where k is a field. Let G be a reductive group scheme over R having a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup. Then the functor $K_{1,Nis}^{G,P} = K_{1,Nis}^{G}$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_R$ is independent of the choice of a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup P of G, and takes values in the category of groups.*

Proof. Let P be a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup of G. Since the presheaf E_P is a subgroup presheaf of G, and the sheafification functor (on presheaves of sets) is exact, its Nisnevich sheafification $E_{P,Nis}$ is a subgroup presheaf of the Nisnevich sheaf G. If Q is another strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup of G, then $E_{P,Nis} = E_{Q,Nis}$ as sheaves on the category of smooth R-schemes, since they are both subsheaves of G and coincide on stalks (which are local rings). In particular, $E_{P,Nis}$ is a subsheaf of normal subgroups of the sheaf G on the category of all smooth R-schemes.

On the other hand, $K_{1,Nis}^{G,P}$ is also the Nisnevich sheafification of the quotient $G/E_{P,Nis}$. Therefore, $K_{1,Nis}^{G,P} = K_{1,Nis}^{G}$ is group-valued and independent of the choice of P. □

Let k be a field, and let R be a regular ring containing k. Let G be a reductive group over k, such that every semisimple normal subgroup of G contains $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k})^2$. If k is perfect, by [St14, Theorem 1.3] the natural inclusion $R \to R[t]$ induces an isomorphism

$$K_1^G(R) \cong K_1^G(R[t]). \tag{2.1}$$

Assume that k is infinite and R is local regular k-algebra, and K is the field of fractions of R. Assume moreover that k is perfect or that A is a local ring of a smooth algebraic variety over k. Then the natural map

$$K_1^G(R) \to K_1^G(K)$$

is injective by [St14, Theorem 1.4]. We extend this result to the case of a finite k.

Lemma 2.3. *Let k be a finite field, and let G be a reductive group over k, such that every semisimple normal subgroup of G contains $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k})^2$. Let R be a regular local ring containing k, and let K be the field of fractions of R. Then*

$$K_1^G(R) \to K_1^G(K)$$

is injective.

Proof. The embedding $k \to R$ is geometrically regular, since k is perfect. Hence by by Popescu's theorem [Po, Sw] R is a filtered direct limit of regular local k-algebras essentially of finite type. Thus, since K_1^G commutes with filtered direct limits, we can assume from the start that R is a regular local k-algebra essentially of finite type. Then $R \otimes_k k((t))$ is a regular algebra essentially of finite type over the infinite field $k((t))$. Let m be the maximal ideal of R, and let n be the maximal ideal of $R \otimes_k k((t))$ containing $m \otimes_k k((t))$. Set $R' = \big(R \otimes_k k((t))\big)_n$. Let K' denote the field of fractions of R'. The map

$$K_1^G(R') \to K_1^G(K') \tag{2.2}$$

is injective by [St14, Theorem 1.4].

Let A be one of R, K, and let A' be R' or K' respectively. Note that By [St14, Theorem 1.3] one has $K_1^G(A[t]) = K_1^G(A)$. Then by [St15, Corollary 3.4] the natural homomorphism

$$K_1^G(A[t, t^{-1}]) \to K_1^G\big(A((t))\big)$$

is injective. Since the natural inclusion $A \to A[t, t^{-1}]$ has a section, we conclude that

$$K_1^G(A) \to K_1^G\big(A((t))\big)$$

is injective. Since the natural homomorphism $A \to A((t))$ factors through A', this implies that

$$K_1^G(A) \to K_1^G(A')$$

is also injective. Then the injectivity of (2.2) implies that of $K_1^G(R) \to K_1^G(K)$. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let k be a field, and let G be a reductive group over k, such that every semisimple normal subgroup of G contains $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k})^2$.*

(i) For any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$, the natural map

$$K_{1,Nis}^G(X) \to \prod_{i=1}^{k} K_{1,Nis}^G(k(X_i))$$

is injective, where X_i, $1 \le i \le n$, are the connected components of X.

(ii) If G is simply connected semisimple, then the functor $K_{1,Nis}^G$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant and $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-invariant, that is, $K_{1,Nis}^G(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},X}) \cong K_{1,Nis}^G(X) \cong K_{1,Nis}^G(\mathbb{A}^1_X)$ for any $X \in \mathbf{Sm}_k$.

Proof. (*i*) Note that the claim folds for $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$, where R is a local Henselian essentially smooth k-algebra. Indeed, if k is finite, it holds by Lemma 2.3. If k is infinite, then by [St14, Theorem 1.4] the map $K_1^G(A) \to K_1^G(F)$ is injective for any ring A with the fraction field F, whenever A is a local ring of a smooth k-variety. Any local essentially smooth k-algebra R is a direct limit of such local rings, and K_1^G commutes with direct limits, hence $K_1^G(R) \to K_1^G(K)$ is injective.

Consider the presheaf F on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ given by

$$F(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} K^G_{1,Nis}(k(X_i)).$$

The presheaf F is a Nisnevich sheaf, since, clearly, it takes elementary Nisnevich squares to cartesian squares. Then the natural morphism of functors $K^G_{1,Nis} \to F$ is injective, since it is injective on stalks.

(ii) We first prove that $K^G_{1,Nis}(R) \cong K^G_{1,Nis}(R[t])$ for any essentially smooth k-domain R. First, assume that $R = K$ is a field extension of k. By (i), the homomorphism

$$f : K^G_{1,Nis}(K[t]) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(K(t))$$

is injective. On the other hand, f is surjective, since the natural homomorphism

$$g : K^G_{1,Nis}(K) = K^G_1(K) \to K^G_1(K(t)) = K^G_{1,Nis}(K(t))$$

is an isomorphism by [G2, Thèoréme 5.8 and Thèoréme 7.2]. This implies that f is an isomorphism. Therefore, the map

$$f^{-1} \circ g : K^G_{1,Nis}(K) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(K[t])$$

is an isomorphism.

Now assume that R is an essentially smooth k-domain, and let K be its field of fractions. By (i), the natural map

$$K^G_{1,Nis}(R[t]) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(K(t))$$

is injective. Then the map $K^G_{1,Nis}(R[t]) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(K[t])$ is also injective. Then the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^G_{1,Nis}(R[t]) & \xrightarrow{t\mapsto 0} & K^G_{1,Nis}(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K^G_{1,Nis}(K[t]) & \xrightarrow{t\mapsto 0} & K^G_{1,Nis}(K) \end{array}$$

implies that the map $K^G_{1,Nis}(R[t]) \xrightarrow{t\mapsto 0} K^G_{1,Nis}(R)$ is injective, and hence an isomorphism.

To finish the proof of (ii), consider an open cover $X = \bigcup_i U_i$, where U_i are smooth connected affine k-schemes. Then $\mathbb{A}^1_X = \bigcup_i \mathbb{A}^1_{U_i}$ is also an open cover. Assume that

$$x \in \ker(K^G_{1,Nis}(\mathbb{A}^1_X) \xrightarrow{f_0} K^G_{1,Nis}(X)),$$

where f_0 is the "restriction to 0" homomorphism. Then $x|_{U_i} = 1$ for any U_i, since by the above

$$K^G_{1,Nis}(\mathbb{A}^1_{U_i}) \cong K^G_{1,Nis}(U_i).$$

Since $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is a Zariski sheaf, this implies that $x = 1$.

The proof of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$-invariance is the same as the proof of $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariance, except that we show that the "restriction to 1" homomorphism $K^G_{1,Nis}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},X}) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(X)$ is injective. □

We will later need one more technical lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let k be a field, let R and S be two essentially smooth k-algebras, and let $f : R \to S$ be a finite flat k-algebra homomorphism. Let G be a reductive group over k, having a strictly proper parabolic k-subgroup. Set $G' = R_{S/R}(G_S)$. Then G' is a reductive group scheme over R having a strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup, and for any essentially smooth R-algebra A one has*

$$K^{G'}_{1,Nis}(A) = K^{G}_{1,Nis}(A \otimes_R S),$$

where $K^{G'}_{1,Nis}$ and $K^{G}_{1,Nis}$ are the Nisnevich sheafifications of the corresponding non-stable K_1-functors on $\mathbf{Sm}_R$ and $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ respectively.

Proof. The group scheme G' over R is, clearly, affine; it is smooth by [BLR90, §7.6, Proposition 5]. Its geometric fibers are reductive groups, hence it is a reductive group scheme. If P is a strictly proper parabolic subgroup of G, then $R_{S/R}(P_S)$ is a strictly proper parabolic subgroup of G' (this follows from the description of all semisimple normal subgroups of G in [SGA3, Exp. XXIV, §5]). Clearly, for any essentially smooth R-algebra A the algebra $A \otimes_R S$ is essentially smooth over S, and hence over k. By definition, we have $K_1^{G'}(A) = K_1^G(A \otimes_R S)$. Hence there is a morphism of presheaves on the category of smooth R-algebras $K_1^{G'}(-) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(- \otimes_R S)$. The restriction of $K^G_{1,Nis}(- \otimes_R S)$ to $\mathbf{Sm}_R$ is a Nisnevich sheaf e.g. by [Stacks, Tag 00XI], hence this morphism factors through a morphism

$$\phi : K^{G'}_{1,Nis}(-) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(- \otimes_R S).$$

Assume that A is a Henselian local ring. Since $A \otimes_R S$ is finite over A, we conclude that $A \otimes_R S$ is a finite product of Henselian local rings. Then $K^G_{1,Nis}(A \otimes_R S) = K^G_1(A \otimes_R S)$. Therefore, ϕ is an isomorphism on Nisnevich stalks, hence an isomorphism. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

Our construction of transfers for non-stable K_1-functors is based on the construction of norm maps for R-equivalence class groups due to V. Chernousov and A. Merkurjev [ChM1]. We briefly recall their result.

Remark 3.1. Note that the groups that are routinly denoted by G, H, G', and H' in [ChM1] we denote by H', G', H, and G respectively, in order to secure compatibility with the statement of Theorem 1.2.

We will need the following notions.

Definition 3.2. *Let X be an algebraic variety over a field k. Denote by $k[t]_{(t),(t-1)}$ the semilocal ring of the affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_k$ over k at the points 0 and 1. Two points $x_0, x_1 \in X(k)$ are called* directly R-equivalent*, if there is $x(t) \in X\big(k[x]_{(x,x-1)}\big)$ such that $x(0) = x_0$ and $x(1) = x_1$. The R-*equivalence relation *on $X(k)$ is the equivalence relation generated by direct R-equivalence. The R-*equivalence class group *$G(k)/RG(k)$ of an algebraic k-group G is the quotient of $G(k)$ by the R-equivalence class $RG(k)$ of the neutral element $1_G \in G(k)$.*

It is easy to see that the $RG(k)$ is a normal subgroup of $G(k)$, so $G(k)/RG(k)$ is indeed a group. If G has a proper parabolic subgroup P over k, then all elements of $E_P(k)$ are R-equivalent to 1, so $K_1^{G,P}(k)$ surjects onto $G(k)/RG(k)$.

Definition 3.3. *Let k be a field, and let $H \xrightarrow{\mu} T$ be a homomorphism of algebraic k-groups where T is a commutative k-group. Let F be a field extension of k. We say that μ* satisfies Merkurjev's norm principle over F, *if for any étale F-algebra E the standard norm homomorphism $T(E) \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} T(F)$ satisfies*

$$N_{E/F} \circ \mu\big(H(E)\big) \subseteq \mu\big(H(F)\big).$$

Let $H \xrightarrow{\mu} T$ be a homomorphism of algebraic k-groups where T is a k-torus, and H is a reductive k-group. Set $G = \ker \mu$. Assume that F is an infinite field extension of k such that μ satisfies Merkurjev's norm principle over F and $H(F)/RH(F) = 1$. Let E/F be a finite separable field extension. Chernousov and Merkurjev proved [ChM1, §4] that under these assumptions $N_{E/F}$ extends to "a norm homomorphism"

$$N_{E/F} : H(E)/RG(E) \to H(F)/RG(F),$$

and the latter homomorphism restricts to a correctly defined homomorphism

$$N_{E/F} : G(E)/RG(E) \to G(F)/RG(F). \tag{3.1}$$

Assume in addition that G is a reductive F-group. Then μ is known to satisfy Merkurjev's norm principle over F whenever F is perfect [Me, Theorem 3.9], or H splits over a finite field extension of F of degree coprime to $\operatorname{char} F$ [ChM1, Remark 4.1], as well as in many other cases, see e.g. [BM02].

If, moreover, G semisimple and simply connected, and contains a strictly proper parabolic k-subgroup P, then for any field extension F of k one has

$$K_1^{G,P}(F) = K_1^G(F) \cong G(F)/RG(F)$$

by [G2, Théorème 7.2]. Thus, the map (3.1) becomes a group homomorphism

$$N_{E/F} : K_1^G(E) \to K_1^G(F).$$

We will deduce Theorem 1.2 from the following more general result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let k be an infinite field. Let G be a simply connected semisimple group over k such that every semisimple normal subgroup of G contains $(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},k})^2$. Assume that there exists a k-rational reductive k-group H and a k-torus T such that G fits into a short exact sequence of k-group homorphisms*

$$1 \to G \to H \xrightarrow{\mu} T \to 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Assume also that μ satisfies Merkurjev's norm principle over every field extension F of k. Then the functor $K^G_{1,Nis}$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ takes values in $\mathbf{Ab}$, and has transfer homomorphisms

$$f_* : K^G_{1,Nis}(S) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(R),$$

defined for any pair R, S of essentially smooth k-algebras and any finite flat generically étale k-algebra homomorphism $f : R \to S$, such that the following properties are satisfied.

1. *Assume that $S = S_1 \times S_2$ is a product of two regular k-algebras, and let $f_1 : R \to S_1$ and $f_2 : R \to S_2$ be the natural maps. Then $f_* = (f_{1*}, f_{2*})$. If, moreover, $f_1 : R \xrightarrow{\cong} S_1$ is a k-algebra isomorphism, then $f_{1*} = ((f_1)^{-1})^*$.*

(2) *For any k-algebra homomorphism $g : R \to R'$ the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^G_{1,Nis}(R) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & K^G_{1,Nis}(R') \\ \uparrow{\scriptstyle f_*} & & \uparrow{\scriptstyle (f')_*} \\ K^G_{1,Nis}(S) & \xrightarrow{g'^*} & K^G_{1,Nis}(S \otimes_R R') \end{array}$$

Here f' and g' are the natural homomorphisms obtained by base change.

(3) *If $f : E \to F$ is a finite separable extension of fields essentially smooth over k, then*

$$f_* = N_{E/F} : G(E)/RG(E) \to G(F)/RG(F)$$

is the Chernousov–Merkurjev norm homomorphism [ChM1, p. 187].

We will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a semilocal ring such that all residue fields of A are infinite. Let X be an affine group scheme over A. Assume that X is rational, i.e. contains a dense open A-subscheme V which is isomorphic to an open subscheme of $\mathbb{A}^n_A$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then*

(i) $X(A)$ is dense in X, i.e. for any open A-subscheme $U \subseteq X$ one has $U(A) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) $X(A) = V(A)V(A)$.

(iii) if A is local, then for any ideal I of A the natural homomorphism $X(A) \to X(A/I)$ is surjective.

Proof. The claim (i) is clear since $(U \cap V)(A) \neq \emptyset$. The claim (ii) is proved exactly as in the field case. The claim (iii) follows from (ii). □

Lemma 3.6. *Let R be a local domain with an infinite residue field and the field of fractions K. Let G, H, T and G', H', T' be reductive group schemes over R, such that H and H' are R-rational, and there are two short exact sequences of R-group scheme homomorphisms* (3.2) *and*

$$1 \to G' \to H' \xrightarrow{\mu'} T' \to 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Assume also that $\beta : T' \to T$ is a R-group scheme homomorphism such that

$$\beta(\mu'(H'(F))) \subseteq \mu(H(F)) \tag{3.4}$$

for any field extension F of K. Then there exists an open dense R-subscheme $U \subseteq H'$ and an R-morphism $\eta : U \to H$ such that $\mu \circ \eta = \beta \circ \mu'|_U$, $1_{H'} \in U(R)$, and $\eta(1_{H'}) = 1_H$.

Proof. The case where $R = K$ is a field was settled in [ChM1, Lemma 3.1] under the assumption that $H'(R)$ is dense in H'. The proof of the general case is obtained by reproducing the proof of that lemma verbatim, taking into account that $H'(R)$ is dense in H' by Lemma 3.5 (note Remark 3.1).

□

Lemma 3.7.

(i) In the setting of Lemma 3.6, the natural map $\bar{\eta} : U(R) \to H(R)/\big(RG(K) \cap H(R)\big)$ induced by η extends uniquely to a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\beta} : H'(R) \to H(R)/\big(RG(K) \cap H(R)\big)$$

such that $\tilde{\beta}\big(RG'(K)\cap H'(R)\big)=1$. *This homomorphism is independent of the choice of a pair* (U,η) *satisfying the claim of Lemma 3.6. If* $R=K$ *is a field, then* $\tilde{\beta}$ *coincides with the map of the same name constructed in* [ChM1, Lemma 3.2]*, assuming Remark 3.1.*

(ii) *Assume in addition that* G *is simply connected, and* G *and* G' *possess strictly proper parabolic* R*-subgroups that we denote by* P *and* P' *respectively. If* $K_1^{G,P}(R)\to K_1^{G,P}(K)$ *is injective, then* $\tilde{\beta}$ *induces a homomorphism*

$$\hat{\beta}: K_1^{G',P'}(R)\to K_1^{G,P}(R).$$

For any ring homomorphism $f: R\to S$*, where* S *is a local domain with the fraction field* E *such that* $K_1^{G,P}(S)\to K_1^{G,P}(E)$ *is injective, the map* $\hat{\beta}$ *is functorial with respect to* f*.*

Proof. (i) By [ChM1, Lemma 3.2] the natural map $\bar{\eta}: U(K)\to H(K)/RG(K)$ induced by η extends uniquely to a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\beta}: H'(K)\to H(K)/RG(K)$$

such that $\tilde{\beta}(RG'(K))=1$. In fact, $\tilde{\beta}$ is given by the following formula [ChM1, Proposition 1.4]: for any $g\in H'(K)$ and any $g_1,g_2\in U(K)$ such that $g=g_1g_2$ set

$$\tilde{\beta}(g)=\bar{\eta}(g_1)\bar{\eta}(g_2). \tag{3.5}$$

It is proved on [ChM1, p. 183] that $\tilde{\beta}$ is correctly defined and independent of the choice of the pair (U,η). By Lemma 3.5 for any $g\in U(R)$ we can find $g_1,g_2\in U(R)$ such that $g=g_1g_2$, therefore, this homomorphism $\tilde{\beta}$ restricts to a homomorphism

$$\tilde{\beta}: H'(R)\to H(R)/\big(RG(K)\cap H(R)\big)\le H(K)/RG(K),$$

which is also correctly defined and independent of (U,η).

(ii) First we show that

$$\tilde{\beta}\big(G'(R)\big)\subseteq G(R)/\big(RG(K)\cap G(R)\big). \tag{3.6}$$

Assume that for $g_1,g_2\in U(R)$ we have $g_1g_2\in G'(R)$. Then

$$\mu\big(\eta(g_1)\eta(g_2)\big)=\mu(\eta(g_1))\mu(\eta(g_2))=\beta(\mu'(g_1))\beta(\mu'(g_2))=\beta(\mu'(g_1g_2))=1.$$

Therefore, $\eta(g_1)\eta(g_2)\in G(R)$. This implies (3.6).

Since $K_1^{G,P}(R)$ injects into $K_1^{G,P}(K)$, and $E_P(K)=RG(K)$ for any strictly proper parabolic R-subgroup P of G by [G2, Théorème 7.2], we have $RG(K)\cap G(R)=E_P(R)$. Since $E_{P'}(R)\le E_{P'}(K)\le RG'(K)$, and $\tilde{\beta}(RG'(K))=1$, the map $\tilde{\beta}$ induces a correctly defined homomorphism

$$\hat{\beta}: K_1^{G',P'}(R)=G'(R)/E_{P'}(R)\to G(R)/E_P(R)=K_1^{G,P}(R).$$

The functoriality of $\hat{\beta}$ readily follows from (3.5). □

Denote by **Grp** the category of groups.

Lemma 3.8. *Let* k *be a field, let* A *be an essentially smooth* k*-domain. Let* $F_1:\mathbf{Sm}_A\to\mathbf{Grp}$ *be a presheaf, and let* $F_2:\mathbf{Sm}_A\to\mathbf{Grp}$ *be a Nisnevich sheaf. Assume that for any essentially smooth* A*-domain* R *with the field of fractions* E *the homomorphism* $F_2(R)\to F_2(E)$ *is injective, and if* R *is also Henselian local, then there exists a homomorphism*

$$\lambda_R: F_1(R)\to F_2(R),$$

functorial with respect to any homomorphism of essentially smooth local Henselian A-domains R. Then there is a unique group homomorphism $\lambda_A : F_1(A) \to F_2(A)$ such that for any henselization A^h_p of a localization of A at a prime ideal p the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1(A) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} & F_2(A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_1(i^h_p)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_2(i^h_p)} \\ F_1(A^h_p) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A^h_p}} & F_2(A^h_p). \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

Here i^h_p is the natural inclusion $A \to A^h_p$.

Proof. As usual, we extend the presheaves F_1 and F_2 by continuity to the category of Noetherian essentially smooth A-schemes. This extension is well-defined thanks to [Gro66, Corollaire 8.13.2]. The extension of F_2 is a Nisnevich sheaf e.g. by [Stacks, Tag 00XI].

Let K be the fraction field of A. Set

$$\theta = F_1(i^h_0) : F_1(A) \to F_1(K).$$

For any prime ideal p of A, let $i_p : A_p \to K$ be the natural homomorphism. By the assumption of the lemma, the natural map $F_2(i^h_0) = F_2(i_0) : F_2(A) \to F_2(K)$ is injective, and for any $p \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ the map $F_2(i_p) : F_2(A_p) \to F_2(K)$ is injective; we identify $F_2(A)$ and $F_2(A_p)$ with the respective subgroups of $F_2(K)$. Clearly, this implies that once λ_A exists, it is unique.

We construct λ_A as follows. First we show that

$$\lambda_K \circ \theta\big(F_1(A)\big) \subseteq F_2(A) \tag{3.8}$$

inside $F_2(K)$. Then we set

$$\lambda_A(x) = \lambda_K(\theta(x)) \quad \text{for any} \quad x \in F_1(A), \tag{3.9}$$

and we show that all diagrams (3.7) are commutative. Note that this definition of λ_A automatically implies that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1(A) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} & F_2(A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_1(i_p)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F_2(i_p)} \\ F_1(A_p) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A_p}} & F_2(A_p). \end{array} \tag{3.10}$$

is commutative for any $p \in \operatorname{Spec} A$.

We prove (3.8) and the commutativity of the diagrams (3.7) by induction on dimension of A. If $\dim A = 0$, then $A = K$ and the statement is trivially true. Assume that $\dim A > 0$. Note that

$$\lambda_K \circ \theta(F_1(A)) \subseteq \lambda_K \circ F_1(i_p)\big(F_1(A_p)\big)$$

for any $p \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, and, clearly,

$$F_2(A) = \bigcap_{p \in \operatorname{Spec} A} F_2(A_p) \subseteq F_2(K).$$

Therefore, in order to prove (3.8) and the commutativity of (3.7) (provided the commutativity of (3.10)), we can assume right away that $A = A_p$ is an essentially smooth local k-domain with a maximal ideal p.

Fix $x \in F_1(A)$, and set $y = \lambda_K(\theta(x)) \in F_2(K)$. Let $\phi^h : A \to A^h$ be the henselization of A. Set $y^h = \lambda_{A^h}(\phi^h(x)) \in F_2(A^h)$. The functoriality of λ_R for Henselian local rings R together with the sheaf property of F_2 implies that $y \in F_2(K)$ and $y^h \in F_2(A^h)$ are mapped to the same element in $F_2(K \otimes_A A^h)$, since $\theta(x)$ and $\phi^h(x)$ are mapped to the same element in $F_1(K \otimes_A A^h)$. Let $\phi' : A \to A'$ be an étale local ring homomorphism, such that ϕ^h factors through A', y^h lifts to $y' \in F_2(A')$, and y, y' are still mapped to the same element in $F_2(K \otimes_A A')$.

Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in p$ be such that

$$\operatorname{Spec}(A) \setminus p = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{Spec}(A_{f_i}).$$

Then $\operatorname{Spec}(A_{f_i})$, $1 \le i \le n$, and $\operatorname{Spec}(A')$ together form a Nisnevich cover of $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

Note that since A is local, $\dim(A_{f_i}) < \dim(A)$ for any i, and thus A_{f_i}'s satisfy the induction hypothesis with respect to the presheaves $F_1|_{\mathbf{Sm}_{A_{f_i}}}$ and $F_2|_{\mathbf{Sm}_{A_{f_i}}}$. Consequently,

$$y \in F_2(A_{f_i}) \subseteq F_2(K) \quad \text{for any} \quad 1 \le i \le n.$$

Let L_i be the fraction field of $A_{f_i} \otimes_A A'$. Since A' is a flat A-module, the map $A_{f_i} \otimes_A A' \to K \otimes_A A'$ is injective, and, clearly, the map $A_{f_i} \otimes_A A' \to L_i$ factors through it. Since by the assumption of the lemma the map $F_2(A_{f_i} \otimes_A A') \to F_2(L_i)$ is injective, the map

$$F_2(A_{f_i} \otimes_A A') \to F_2(K \otimes_A A')$$

is also injective. It follows that $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} F_2(A_{f_i})$ and $y' \in F_2(A')$ are mapped to the same element in $F_2(A_{f_i} \otimes_A A')$ for every i. Since F_2 is a Nisnevich sheaf, it follows that y and y' have a common lift to $F_2(A)$. Since $F_2(A) \to F_2(K)$ is injective by the assumption of the lemma, we conclude that $y \in F_2(A)$, which proves (3.8). The above argument also implies the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1(A) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} & F_2(A) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_1(A^h) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{A^h}} & F_2(A^h), \end{array}$$

which proves the commutativity of (3.7). □

Proof of Theorem 3.4. For any essentially smooth field K over k, the existence of the sequence (3.2) implies that $K_1^G(K)$ is abelian by [ChM1, Lemma 1.2]. Hence by Lemma 2.4 the functor $K_{1,Nis}^G$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ takes values in $\mathbf{Ab}$.

Consider two essentially smooth k-algebras A and B and a finite flat generically étale ring homomorphism $f : A \to B$. We set $T' = R_{B/A}(T_B)$, $G' = R_{B/A}(G_B)$, and $H' = R_{B/A}(H_B)$. Then, clearly, G', H', T' are reductive A-group schemes, H' is A-rational, G' is a simply connected reductive group, and these groups form the short exact sequence (3.3) over A. By Lemma 2.5 G' contains a strictly proper parabolic A-subgroup and for any essentially smooth A-algebra R one has

$$K_{1,Nis}^{G'}(R) = K_{1,Nis}^{G}(R \otimes_A B).$$

For any A-algebra R, one can define a natural "norm" homomorphism

$$N_{B/A} : T'(R) = T(R \otimes_A B) \to T(A),$$

extending the usual norm in the field case, see [Pa, §2]. Such norm homomorphisms are functorial with respect to arbitrary "base change" ring homomorphisms $g : A \to A'$, i.e. the following diagram commutes [Pa, p. 5]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(B) & \xrightarrow{N_{B/A}} & T(A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ T(B \otimes_A A') & \xrightarrow{N_{B\otimes_A A'/A'}} & T(A') \end{array}$$

This norm homomorphism on $\mathbf{Sm}_A$ defines a homomorphism of A-group schemes

$$N_{B/A} : T' \to T_A.$$

Assume for the time being that A is a domain, and let K be its fraction field. We check that the functors $F_1 = K_{1,Nis}^{G'}$ and $F_2 = K_{1,Nis}^{G}$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_A$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.8. First, by Lemma 2.4 for any local essentially smooth A-domain R with the field of fractions E the map $F_2(R) \to F_2(E)$ is injective. Second, assume that R is, moreover, Henselian local. Then $F_1(R) = K_1^{G'}(R)$ and $F_2(R) = K_1^{G}(R)$. We claim that the two short exact sequences of A-groups (3.2) and (3.3) and the morphism $\beta = N_{B/A} : T' \to T_A$ after base change to R satisfy all conditions of Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7; then Lemma 3.7 provides the map $\lambda_R = \hat{\beta}$ required in Lemma 3.8. Indeed, the only thing to check is the condition (3.4) that we proceed to establish. Since f is generically étale, $K \otimes_A B$ is a finite product of finite separable field extensions of K. Let F be any field extension of K. Then $F \otimes_A B = F \otimes_K (K \otimes_A B)$, hence $F \otimes_A B$ is also a finite product $\prod E_i$ of finite separable field extensions E_i of F. Since the norm homomorphism is compatible with base change, the norm homomorphism

$$N_{B/A} : T'(F) = T(F \otimes_A B) \to T(F)$$

is nothing but the product of the norm maps $N_{E_i/F}$. Hence $\beta = N_{B/A}$ satisfies (3.4) for any field extension F of K, since by the assumption of our theorem Merkurjev's norm principle holds over F. It remains to note that since R is an essentially smooth A-domain, any field extension F of E is also a field extension of K.

We have proved that the functors $F_1 = K_{1,Nis}^{G'}$ and $F_2 = K_{1,Nis}^{G}$ on $\mathbf{Sm}_A$ satisfy all assumptions of Lemma 3.8. Applying the claim of this lemma, we set

$$f_* = \lambda_A : K_{1,Nis}^{G'}(A) = K_{1,Nis}^{G}(B) \to K_{1,Nis}^{G}(A).$$

Note that if $f : A \to B$ is a finite separable extension of fields, then $\lambda_A = \lambda_K : K_1^{G'}(K) \to K_1^{G}(K)$ is the map $\hat{\beta}$ constructed in Lemma 3.7. That map is the natural restriction to $K_1^{G'}(K) = G'(K)/RG'(K)$ of the map $\tilde{\beta}$ identical to the map contructed in [ChM1, Lemma 3.2]. Since $G' = R_{B/A}(G)$, one readily sees that the map $\hat{\beta}$ is identical to the norm map $N_{B/A} : G(B)/RG(B) \to G(A)/RG(A)$ of [ChM1, p. 187], as required.

Now we drop the assumption that A is a domain, and let $A = \prod_{i=1}^{n} A_i$ be the decomposition of A into a product of domains. Set $B_i = B \otimes_A A_i$. Then we apply the above definition of

f_* to each base change $f_i : A_i \to B_i$ of f via $A \to A_i$. Clearly, we have

$$K^G_{1,Nis}(B) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} K^G_{1,Nis}(B_i) \quad \text{and} \quad K^G_{1,Nis}(A) \cong \prod_{i=1}^{n} K^G_{1,Nis}(A_i).$$

Then we set

$$f_* = \prod (f_i)_* : K^G_{1,Nis}(B) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(A).$$

We show that the transfer map f_* defined above is compatible with any base change $A \to A'$, where A' is another essentially smooth k-algebra. Set $B' = A' \otimes_A B$ and let $f' : A' \to B'$ be the corresponding finite flat homomorphism. By the commutativity of the diagrams (3.7) in Lemma 3.8, it is enough to prove this claim assuming that both A and A' are henselian local rings essentially smooth over k. Then the transfer maps corresponding to $A \to B$ and $A' \to B'$ are both represented by the maps of the form $\hat{\beta}$ constructed in Lemma 3.7. Namely,

$$f_* = \hat{N}_{B/A} : K^G_1(B) = K_1^{R_{B/A}(G_B)}(A) \to K^G_1(A)$$

and

$$f'_* = \hat{N}_{B'/A'} : K^G_1(B') = K_1^{R_{B'/A'}(G_{B'})}(A') \to K^G_1(A').$$

Since $K^G_1(B') = K^G_1(A' \otimes_A B) = K_1^{R_{B/A}(G_B)}(A')$ and the norm homomorphisms are compatible with base change [Pa, p. 5, (1)], we conclude that the required diagram for f'_* and f_* commutes by the last claim of Lemma 3.7.

It remains to check that f_* satisfies the property (1) in the statement of Theorem 3.4. First, assume that $B = B_1 \times B_2$ is a product of two essentially smooth k-algebras, and let $f_1 : A \to B_1$ and $f_2 : A \to B_2$ be the natural maps. We need to show that $f_* = (f_{1*}, f_{2*})$. As in the previous case, we are reduced to the case where A is a henselian local ring, and then the result follows from Lemma 3.7 and the multiplicativity property of norm maps [Pa, p. 5, (2)]. Finally, the second claim of the property (1) follows immediately from the normalization property of norm maps [Pa, p. 5, (3)]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that we have established in Lemma 2.4 that $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant. If k is finite or G is of trialitarian type ${}^{3(6)}D_4$, then G is quasi-split and hence $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is trivial and there is nothing to prove. Indeed, it is trivial on fields by e.g. [G2, Théorème 6.1], and hence on all smooth k-schemes by Lemma 2.4.

Assume from now on that G is non-trialitarian and k is infinite. By [ChM1, p. 189–190] (see also [ChM2] for more details), for any simply connected semisimple algebraic group G over k of classical type A_l or D_l (as well as for groups of type B_l and C_l) there exists a rational reductive k-group H and a k-torus T such that G fits into an exact sequence of algebraic k-group homomorphisms

$$1 \to G \to H \xrightarrow{\mu} T \to 1.$$

Also, for any field extension F/k, the homomorphism μ satisfies Merkurjev's norm principle over F. Indeed, if G is of type A_l, then this follows from [BM02, Theorem 1.1]. If G is of type D_l, then this follows from [Me, Theorems 3.9 and 4.3] by [ChM1, Remark 4.1] (cf. [ChM1, Theorem 4.6]).

Thus, we can apply Theorem 3.4. Clearly, the transfer maps f_* defined in that theorem satisfy the properties (1), (2), and (3) of Defininition 1.1. The property (4) of Defininition 1.1 is trivially true, since $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant. Thus, Theorem 1.2 is proved.

□

Proof of Corollary 1.3. By [R, Theorem 6.14] combined with Theorem 1.2, there is a Gersten-type exact sequence of abelian groups

$$1 \to K^G_{1,Nis}(X) \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(0)}} i_{x*}(K^G_{1,Nis})(X) \to \bigoplus_{x\in X^{(1)}} i_{x*}\big((K^G_{1,Nis})_{-1}\big)(X) \to \dots$$

Here dy definition $(K^G_{1,Nis})_{-1}(X) = \operatorname{coker}\big(K^G_{1,Nis}(\mathbb{A}^1_X) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},X})\big)$. By Lemma 2.4 we have $K^G_{1,Nis}(\mathbb{A}^1_X) \cong K^G_{1,Nis}(\mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{m},X})$, that is, $(K^G_{1,Nis})_{-1}$ is trivial.

□

4. Rigidity

Let k be an infinite field. We prove a rigidity theorem for torsion $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant presheaves with oriented weak transfers on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$. Any such presheaf F extends by continuity to the category of (Noetherian) essentially smooth k-schemes, which are filtered projective limits of smooth k-schemes. This extension is well-defined thanks to [Gro66, Corollaire 8.13.2].

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let k be an infinite field. Let F be an $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant presheaf of abelian groups on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ with oriented weak transfers for affine varieties. Assume also that $lF = 0$ for an integer $l \in k^\times$. Let $R = \mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}$ be the henselization of the local ring of a smooth k-scheme X at a point $x \in X(k)$, and let K be the field of fractions of R. If $F(R) \to F(K)$ is injective, then*

$$F(k) \cong F(R).$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 imitates the proof of [SV96, Theorem 4.4], relying on the following result instead of [SV96, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 4.2. *Let k be an infinite field. Let F be an $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariant presheaf of abelian groups on $\mathbf{Sm}_k$ with oriented weak transfers for affine varieties. Assume also that $lF = 0$ for an integer $l \in k^\times$. Let R be a Henselian local ring essentially smooth over k, and let K be its field of fractions. Let P be the unique closed point of* $\operatorname{Spec} R$. *Let $f : M \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ be a smooth affine morphism of constant relative dimension* 1. *Let $s_0, s_1 : \operatorname{Spec} R \to M$ be two sections of f such that $s_0(P) = s_1(P)$. Then the two compositions $F(M) \xrightarrow{s_i^*} F(R) \to F(K)$, $i = 0, 1$, are equal.*

The proof of Theorem 4.2 is essentially the same as that of [HY07, Theorem 3.1] which in its turn is based on [Gab92, proof of the Rigidity Lemma, pp. 66–68] and on some ideas of [SV96, PaY02, Y04]. We redo only those steps of the above proofs that are sketchy or appeal to the properties of $\mathbb{A}^1$-stably representable cohomology theories.

Recall that the relative Picard group $\operatorname{Pic}(X, Z)$, where X is a scheme and Z is a closed subscheme of X, is by definition the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (L, ψ) where L is a line bundle on X and ψ is a trivialization of $L|_Z$. We also denote by $\operatorname{Div}(X, Z)$ the group of

Cartier divisors on X supported outside of Z. For any divisor D on X we denote by $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ the corresponding element of the Picard group.

The following theorem essentially recaptures [HY07, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 4.3. *Let k, K and F be the same as in Theorem 4.2. Let $\sigma : C^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_K$ be a smooth affine curve over K with trivial tangent bundle, let C be the normalization of its projective closure, and let $C_\infty = (C \setminus C^\circ)_{red}$. Let $P_0, P_1 \in C^\circ(K)$ be two closed points. There is a bilinear pairing*

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \operatorname{Pic}(C, C_\infty) \times F(C^\circ) \to F(K)$$

such that

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_C(P_0 - P_1), - \rangle = (P_0)^* - (P_1)^*$$

as maps from $F(C^\circ)$ to $F(K)$.

Proof. Following [HY07], denote by $\operatorname{Div}_s(C, C_\infty)$ the subgroup of $\operatorname{Div}(C, C_\infty)$ consisting of separable divisors (i.e. divisors $D = \sum a_i x_i$ such that the points x_i correspond to finite separable field extensions L_i/K) supported outside of C_∞. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Div}(C, C_\infty)$ consisting of divisors given by meromorphic functions taking the value 1 on C_∞. Set

$$\widetilde{\operatorname{Pic}}(C, C_\infty) = \operatorname{Div}_s(C, C_\infty)/(\operatorname{Div}_s(C, C_\infty) \cap \mathcal{M}).$$

By [HY07, Proposition 3.12] the natural map $\widetilde{\operatorname{Pic}}(C, C_\infty) \to \operatorname{Pic}(C, C_\infty)$ is an isomorphism, hence it is enough to construct the pairing for the group $\widetilde{\operatorname{Pic}}(C, C_\infty)$.

We denote by

$$f : C \to \operatorname{Spec} K$$

the structure morphism of C. Define the pairing

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \operatorname{Div}_s(C, C_\infty) \times F(C^\circ) \to F(K)$$

as follows: for any divisor $D = \sum a_i x_i$, where $x_i : \operatorname{Spec} L_i \to C^\circ$ are closed points, and any $\alpha \in F(C^\circ)$ set

$$\langle D, \alpha \rangle = \sum a_i \cdot (f \circ x_i)^{\sigma \circ x_i}_* \big(x_i^*(\alpha)\big).$$

Since $f \circ P_0 = f \circ P_1 = \mathrm{id}_K$, by property (2) of Definition 1.1 one has

$$\langle P_0 - P_1, \alpha \rangle = P_0^*(\alpha) - P_1^*(\alpha) \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in F(C^\circ).$$

It remains to show that for any $D \in \operatorname{Div}_s(C, C_\infty) \cap \mathcal{M}$ the map

$$\langle D, - \rangle : F(C^\circ) \to F(K)$$

is trivial. Following [PaY02], we say that a divisor $D = \sum a_i x_i$ is unramified, if all a_i are equal to ± 1. By [HY07, Lemma 3.11] any divisor $D \in \operatorname{Div}_s(C, C_\infty) \cap \mathcal{M}$ can be written as a sum of unramified divisors in $\operatorname{Div}_s(C, C_\infty) \cap \mathcal{M}$. Thus, we need to show that $\langle D, - \rangle$ is trivial for an unramified divisor D.

Let $h : C \to \mathbb{P}^1_K$ be a meromorphic function such that $\operatorname{div}(h) = D$ and h takes value 1 on C_∞. Choose an open affine neighbourhood $\tilde{C}^\circ$ of $\operatorname{supp}(D)$ contained in the open subscheme of C° given by $h \neq 1$. Consider the natural morphism

$$\tilde{h} = h|_{\tilde{C}^\circ} : \tilde{C}^\circ \to \mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{1\} \cong \mathbb{A}^1_K,$$

and let $\tau = (\sigma, \tilde{h}) : \tilde{C}^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^n_K \times \mathbb{A}^1_K$ be the corresponding closed embedding. By [HY07, Remark 3.10] the embedding τ has trivial normal bundle.

Since D is unramified, $\tilde{h}$ is étale over $\{0\}$ and $\{\infty\}$, and the divisors D_0 and D_∞ representing the 0-locus and the ∞-locus of h are of the form $D_0 = \sum_i y_i$, $D_\infty = \sum_j z_j$. To simplify the notation, we identify D_0 and D_∞ with their supports in $\tilde{C}^\circ$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(D_0) & \xleftarrow{(\sqcup y_i)^*} & F(\tilde{C}^\circ) & \xrightarrow{(\sqcup z_j)^*} & F(D_\infty) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\tilde{h}|_{D_0})^\tau_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{h}^\tau_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\tilde{h}|_{D_\infty})^\tau_*} \\ F(K) & \xleftarrow{i_0^*} & F(\mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{1\}) & \xrightarrow{i_\infty^*} & F(K) \end{array}$$

By property (3) of Definition 1.1 the left hand and right hand squares of this diagram are commutative. Since F satisfies $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariance, i_0^* and i_∞^* are isomorphisms and coincide. Let $x : \operatorname{Spec} L \to \tilde{C}^\circ$ be any point with L/K separable and $\tilde{h}(x) = 0$. Then the transfer maps $(f \circ x)^{\sigma \circ x}_*$ and $(f \circ x)^{\tau \circ x}_*$ coincide by property (4) of Definition 1.1. By $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariance the same is true if $\tilde{h}(x) = \infty$. Together with property (1) of Definition 1.1, this implies that for any $\alpha \in F(C^\circ)$ one has

$$\langle D_0, \alpha \rangle = (\tilde{h}|_{D_0})^\tau_* \circ (\sqcup y_i)^*(\alpha) = (\tilde{h}|_{D_\infty})^\tau_* \circ (\sqcup z_j)^*(\alpha) = \langle D_\infty, \alpha \rangle .$$

Hence $\langle D, - \rangle = 0$, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Let $P_i = (s_i)_K : \operatorname{Spec} K \to M_K$ be the maps induced by s_i, $i = 0, 1$, via the base change $\operatorname{Spec} K \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. Clearly, it is enough to show that $P_0^* = P_1^*$ as maps $F(M_K) \to F(K)$. Since s_0, s_1 coincide on the closed fiber of M, the points $s_0(P)$ and $s_1(P)$ belong to the same irreducible component of M. Without loss of generality, we assume that M is irreducible. Then P_i belong to the same irreducible component of M_K; denote this component by X. Since X has a rational K-point, it is geometrically connected over K, and hence geometrically irreducible.

Let $\sigma : M \to \mathbb{A}^n_R$ be a regular closed embedding of M into an affine space, and let $\bar{M}$ be the projective closure of M in $\mathbb{P}^n_R$, so that M is open in $\bar{M}$. The argument on pp. 68–69 of [Gab92] shows that $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{M}}(s_0 - s_1) \in \operatorname{Pic}(\bar{M}, (\bar{M} \setminus M)_{red})$ is l-divisible for any integer $l \in k^\times$. We choose l to be the torsion integer of F. Consequently, $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(P_0 - P_1)$ is l-divisible in $\operatorname{Pic}(\bar{X}, (\bar{X} \setminus X)_{red})$, where $\bar{X}$ is the closure of X in $\bar{M}_K$.

Choose an open affine neighbourhood C° of $\{P_0, P_1\}$ in X such that the tangent bundle is trivial when restricted to C°; this is possible since the restriction of the tangent bundle to the semilocalization at $\{P_0, P_1\}$ is trivial. Clearly, in order to prove the theorem, it is enough to show that $P_i^* : F(C^\circ) \to F(K)$ coincide.

Note that the closure of C° in $\bar{M}_K$ coincides with $\bar{X}$. Let $C \to \bar{X}$ be the normalization of C°. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}(P_0 - P_1)$ is l-divisible in $\operatorname{Pic}(\bar{X}, (\bar{X} \setminus X)_{red})$, we conclude that $\mathcal{O}_C(P_0 - P_1)$ is l-divisible in $\operatorname{Pic}(C, (C \setminus C^\circ)_{red}) = \operatorname{Pic}(C, C_\infty)$. Apply Theorem 4.3 to $C^\circ = U$ and the points P_i. Then for any $\alpha \in F(U)$ one has

$$P_0^*(\alpha) - P_1^*(\alpha) = \langle \mathcal{O}_C(P_0 - P_1), \alpha \rangle .$$

Since F is l-torsion, we conclude that $P_0^*(\alpha) - P_1^*(\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in F(C^\circ)$, as required. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Take $R = \mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}$, where X is k-smooth and $x \in X(k)$. We can replace X with an open affine neighbourhood U of x such that there is an étale morphism $\pi : U \to \mathbb{A}^n_k$ for some $n \geq 0$. Then, clearly, $\pi(x) \in \mathbb{A}^n_k(k)$ and $R \cong \mathcal{O}^h_{\mathbb{A}^n_k,\pi(x)}$. Now the claim of the theorem is proved by induction on n; the case $n = 0$ is clear. Take a generic linear projection $p : \mathbb{A}^n_k \to \mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k$. Set $x' = p(\pi(x))$, $R' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{n-1}_k,x'}$, and let K' be the fraction field of R'. Clearly, one can find a section s of p satisfying $s(x') = \pi(x)$. Let $i : R' \to R$ and $j : R \to R'$ be the homomorphisms induced by p and s respectively, so that $i \circ j = \mathrm{id}_{R'}$. Then $i^* : F(R') \to F(R)$ is injective, and hence the composition

$$F(R') \to F(K') \to F(K)$$

is injective. Therefore, the map $F(R') \to F(K')$ is injective. Applying the induction hypothesis to R', we conclude that $F(k) \cong F(R')$. The rest of the proof is exactly the same as the proof of [SV96, Theorem 4.4], relying on Theorem 4.2 combined with the injectivity of the map $F(R) \to F(K)$ instead of [SV96, Theorem 4.3]. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let k be a field, and let G be an isotropic simply connected simple algebraic group over k of the Tits index ${}^1D^{(d)}_{l,r}$ or ${}^2D^{(d)}_{l,r}$, $r \geq 1$, in the sense of* [T2]. *Then $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is d-torsion.*

Proof. The definition of Tits indices implies that the first Tits algebra $\beta_G(\omega_1)$ of G in $\mathrm{Br}(k)$ contains an Azumaya algebra E over k of degree d; see [PSt2, the proof of Theorem 3]. Then, clearly, for any field extension K/k the class $\beta_{G_K}(\omega_1)$ contains E_K. Therefore, there is a separable field extension K'/K of degree d such that $E_{K'}$ is split and $\beta_{G_{K'}}(\omega_1)$ is trivial. Then $G_{K'} \cong \mathrm{Spin}(q)$, where q is an isotropic quadratic form over K'. By [G2, Théorème 6.1] one has $K^G_1(K') = 1$. Denote $i : \operatorname{Spec} K' \to \operatorname{Spec} K$ the canonical morphism. By [ChM1, Proposition 4.4] for any $x \in K^G_1(K)$ one has

$$i^* \circ i_*(x) = x^{[K':K]} = x^d.$$

Since $K^G_1(K') = 1$, this implies that $K^G_1(K)$ is d-torsion. Then Lemma 2.4 implies that $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is d-torsion. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. If the field k is finite or G is of trialitarian type, then we conclude that $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is trivial as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Hence $K^G_1(R) = K^G_1(R/m)$. Assume that k is infinite; then $\kappa = R/m$ is infinite. The group G has the Tits index ${}^1D^{(d)}_{l,r}$ or ${}^2D^{(d)}_{l,r}$, and by Lemma 4.4 $K^G_{1,Nis}$ is d-torsion. By the classification of Tits indices [T2, PSt2] one has $d = 2^m$ for some $m \geq 0$, hence d is coprime to $\mathrm{char}\, k$.

Assume first that R is of the form $\mathcal{O}^h_{X,x}$, where X is a smooth k-scheme and $x \in X(k)$. By Lemma 2.4 the map $K^G_{1,Nis}(R) \to K^G_{1,Nis}(K)$ is injective, where K is the field of fractions of R. Then by Theorem 1.2 combined with Theorem 4.1 we conclude that $K^G_{1,Nis}(k) \cong K^G_{1,Nis}(R)$.

Now let R be an arbitrary Henselian local k-ring with a coefficient subfield $k \cong R/m$. The embedding $k \to R$ is geometrically regular, since k is perfect. Therefore by Popescu's theorem [Po, Sw] R is a filtered direct limit of local essentially smooth k-algebras A_i. Clearly, k is the residue field for each A_i as well. By the universal property of henselization, we can replace every such algebra A_i with its henselization A^h_i. Since K^G_1 commutes with filtered

direct limits of k-algebras, we have

$$K_1^G(R) = \varinjlim_i K_1^G(A_i^h) = \varinjlim_i K_{1,Nis}^G(A_i^h).$$

Since $K_1^G(k) = K_{1,Nis}^G(k) \cong K_{1,Nis}^G(A_i^h)$ by the previous case, we are done. □

References

[AHW] A. Asok, M. Hoyois, M. Wendt, *Affine representability results in A^1-homotopy theory II: principal bundles and homogeneous spaces*, 2015 arXiv:1507.08020.

[BM02] P. Barquero, A. Merkurjev, *Norm principle for reductive algebraic groups*, Algebra, arithmetic and geometry, Part I, II (Mumbai, 2000), Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., vol. 16, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 2002, pp. 123–137.

[B64] H. Bass, *K-theory and stable algebra*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **22** (1964), 5–60.

[BLR90] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.

[ChM1] V. Chernousov, A. S. Merkurjev, *R-equivalence and special unitary groups*, J. Algebra **209** (1998), 175–198.

[ChM2] V. Chernousov, A. S. Merkurjev, *R-equivalence in spinor groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 (2001), 509–534.

[SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 151–153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

[Gab92] O. Gabber, *K-theory of Henselian local rings and Henselian pairs*, Algebraic K-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989), Contemp. Math., vol. 126, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992, pp. 59–70.

[G1] Ph. Gille, *Spécialisation de la R-équivalence pour les groupes réductifs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **356** (2004), no. 11, 4465–4474

[G2] Ph. Gille, *Le problème de Kneser-Tits*, Sém. Bourbaki **983** (2007), 983-01–983-39.

[GiTh] H. A. Gillet, R. W. Thomason, *The K-theory of strict Hensel local rings and a theorem of Suslin*, Proceedings of the Luminy conference on algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983), vol. 34, 1984, pp. 241–254.

[Gro66] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. III*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), no. 28, 255.

[Haz10] R. Hazrat, SK_1 *of Azumaya algebras over Hensel pairs*, Math. Z. **264** (2010), no. 2, 295–299.

[Haz12] R. Hazrat, *Stability of unitary* SK_1 *of Azumaya algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **98** (2012), no. 1, 19–23.

[HY07] J. Hornbostel, S. Yagunov, *Rigidity for Henselian local rings and $\mathbb{A}^1$-representable theories*, Math. Z. **255** (2007), no. 2, 437–449.

[KLe] B. Kahn, M. Levine, *Motives of Azumaya algebras*, Journal of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu **9** (2010), 481–599.

[Me] A. S. Merkurjev, Norm principle for algebraic groups, St. Petersburg Math. J. 7 (1996), 243–264.

[Mo] F. Morel, *$\mathbb{A}^1$-algebraic topology over a field*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2052. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012. x+259 pp.

[MoV] F. Morel, V. Voevodsky, *A^1-homotopy theory of schemes*, Publ. Math. I.H.É.S. **90** (1999), 45–143.

[Pa] I. Panin, *On Grothendieck—Serre's conjecture concerning principal G-bundles over reductive group schemes: II*, arXiv:0905.1423, to appear in Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.

[PaY02] I. Panin, S. Yagunov, *Rigidity for orientable functors*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **172** (2002), no. 1, 49–77.

[PSt1] V. Petrov, A. Stavrova, *Elementary subgroups of isotropic reductive groups*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **20** (2009), 625–644.

[PSt2] V. Petrov, A. Stavrova, *Tits indices over semilocal rings*, Transf. Groups **16** (2011), 193–217.

[Po] D. Popescu, *Letter to the Editor: General Néron desingularization and approximation*, Nagoya Math. J. **118** (1990), 45–53.

[R] J. Ross, *Cohomology of presheaves with oriented weak transfers*, 2014, arXiv:1405.0176, submitted.

[Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks project*, `http://stacks.math.columbia.edu`, 2015.

[St14] A. Stavrova, *Homotopy invariance of non-stable K_1-functors*, J. K-Theory **13** (2014), 199–248.

[St15] A. Stavrova, *Non-stable K_1-functors of multiloop groups*, Canad. J. Math. (2015), Online First http://cms.math.ca/10.4153/CJM-2015-035-2.

[S83] A. Suslin, *On the K-theory of algebraically closed fields*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 2, 241–245.

[SV96] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, *Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties*, Invent. Math. **123** (1996), no. 1, 61–94.

[Sw] R. G. Swan, *Néron-Popescu desingularization*, in Algebra and Geometry (Taipei, 1995), Lect. Alg. Geom. **2** (1998), 135–198. Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.

[T2] J. Tits, *Classification of algebraic semisimple groups*, Algebraic groups and discontinuous subgroups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1966, 33–62.

[V00] V. Voevodsky, *Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers*, Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 143, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 87–137.

[Y04] S. Yagunov, *Rigidity. II. Non-orientable case*, Doc. Math. **9** (2004), 29–40.

[Yu13] Weibo Yu, *Stability for odd unitary K_1 under the Λ-stable range condition*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **217** (2013), no. 5, 886–891.